

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/549,310	TAKANO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Yevgeny Valenrod	1621	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** pending

 (1) Yevgeny Valenrod.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) John Bailey.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 18 June 2007
**Time:** 1:00
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 9.

Prior art documents discussed:

Barton et al. (of record)

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

attorney has agreed to examiners suggestion to limit the bases used in the process to those described in claim 9 and to cancel claim 9.

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)